

High Seizure Burden and Limited Treatment Persistence in Epilepsy: Findings from the EMPOWER Observational Study and a US Real-World Claims Analysis

Brittany Gazdag¹, Karl Hansen¹, Brandon Abbs¹, Alex La Croix¹, Megan Sniecinski¹, Steven Petrou¹, Marcio Souza¹, Jacqueline French²

¹Praxis Precision Medicines, Boston, MA, USA, ²NYU Comprehensive Epilepsy Center, New York, NY, USA

BACKGROUND

- Epilepsy is a complex neurological disease characterized by unprovoked, spontaneous seizures with a global prevalence of ~50 million people. In the US, an estimated 3.5 million have an epilepsy diagnosis, almost a third of whom live with uncontrolled seizures.
 - Associated with this is decreased quality-of-life and increased risk of overall mortality from sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP) and seizure-related accidents. Treatment side effects and frequent medication changes further compound patient burden.
 - EMPOWER is a research project led by Praxis Precision Medicines, which aims to characterize seizure burden and antiseizure medication (ASM) use patterns over time in epilepsy patients; empowering them to actively participate in their epilepsy journey and help identify potential participants for Praxis clinical trials.
 - A complementary US claims analysis of adults with focal onset seizures (FOS) examines population-level treatment use, persistence and seizure control.
- Here, we characterize epilepsy burden and unmet needs associated with ASM use through patient-reported data from the EMPOWER study and real-world claims data from adults with FOS.

METHODS

EMPOWER Study Design

- EMPOWER aims to recruit US participants aged ≥18 years with a confirmed epilepsy diagnosis.
- Participants who elect to enroll are followed for a prospective observational period of up to 24 months.
- EMPOWER includes a survey capturing demographics, disease course, seizure-tracking and ASM use via electronic diary and surveys.
- Participants opting to share medical records will have linked records capturing seizure-related disease course, and prospectively tracking intercurrent events (e.g. hospitalizations, infections).
- Periodically, summarized deidentified reports will be shared with participants to facilitate learning from others' experiences.
- Data are presented from 776 respondents who have been surveyed.


FOS Claims Analysis

- A retrospective claims analysis evaluated prescribing patterns and seizure control among ~440,000 adults with FOS who had >1 ICD-10 diagnosis code for FOS, identified through billing and pharmacy claims between 2016 and 2023.


EMPOWER: KEY DEMOGRAPHICS

68% of Respondents Are Female, and Most Are Between the Ages of 35 and 54


EMPOWER RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS (N=776)




AGE DISTRIBUTION



Less Than 10% of Respondents Reported Being Managed by an Epileptologist




Most Respondents Indicated They Are Unaware of Their Epilepsy Type



CONCLUSIONS


- EMPOWER survey data and FOS claims analyses provide complementary perspectives on epilepsy burden and the challenges to optimal seizure control.
- Patient-reported data reveal the lived impact of uncontrolled seizures and reduced quality-of-life, while real-world claims highlight rapid treatment cycling and limited persistence in FOS.
- Together, these findings reinforce the need for novel therapies to achieve sustained seizure control and improve long-term outcomes.

EMPOWER: SEIZURE EXPERIENCE & ANTISEIZURE MEDICATION USE



EMPOWER: SEIZURE DESCRIPTIONS & IMPACT ON QUALITY-OF-LIFE

Despite Currently Available ASMs, Respondents Continue to Experience Seizures That Are Predominantly Moderate to Severe, Even While on Multiple Medications, with Greater Impact on Quality-of-Life Among Those Reporting Higher ASM Counts




IMPACT ON QUALITY OF LIFE BY ASM COUNT (N=776)

Quality-of-Life Attribute	Overall (N=776)	≥4 ASMs (n=54)	2-3 ASMs (n=271)	1 ASM (n=282)	0 ASM (n=169)
Inability to Work or Study	55%	56%	62%	50%	44%
Mental Effects of ASMs	47%	56%	53%	42%	40%
Physical Effects of ASMs	43%	66%	46%	41%	39%
Social Limitations	43%	67%	47%	37%	40%
Medication Burden	36%	63%	41%	31%	30%

Heat map depiction of the % of respondents who perceive considerable to significant quality of life impact on the metrics listed (4 or 5 on 5-point scale)

FOS CLAIMS ANALYSIS: TREATMENT CYCLING AND PERSISTENCE

- A retrospective claims analysis identified an observable FOS cohort (N=~440k) defined by ≥1 FOS diagnosis code, continuous enrollment for ±24 months around first observed diagnosis (with ≥1 claim every 6 months), and evidence of medical or pharmacy (Mx/Rx) activity; treatment analyses reflect a subset of this population.
- Rapid treatment cycling and limited persistence observed.
- 63% of patients advanced beyond 1st line therapy; median time on therapy declined from 295 to 114 days between 1st and 5th line.
- Treatment persistence levelled out ~6 months after initial prescription, varying widely by drug class.



REFERENCES

• GBD 2021 Global Prevalence Data *Lancet Public Health*
 • WHO 2023 Epilepsy Fact Sheet
 • Gupta et al 2017 *Epilepsia Open*
 • Ioannou et al 2022 *Brain Behav*
 • Seiden & Connor 2022 *Epilepsy & Behavior*
 • Gazdag et al AES 2024
 • Hansen et al AAN 2025

Acknowledgments We thank the participants and their families, as well as our collaborators for their contributions to this work.
Funding All work was funded by Praxis Precision Medicines. Medical writing and editorial assistance were provided by Lillian G. Matthews and Laila S. Almassri in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP).
Disclosures All authors are current or former employees/consultants of Praxis Precision Medicines and may be Praxis shareholders.

@PraxisMedicines
 Praxis Precision Medicines
 Praxismedicines.com
 clinicaltrials@praxismedicines.com



Presented at:
 European Epilepsy Congress
 5-9 Sept 2026
 Athens, Greece

ILAE EUROPE
 epilepsy congress